FUTURE INSTITUTE

Graduate Research Scholarship Application **Evaluation Rubric**

- Evaluation Criteria:
 3 = Complete, accurate and exceeds minimal level of detail, clarity and logic; demonstrates all of the following: clarity, organized, logical, sufficient detail provided to support statements.

 2 = Complete, accurate and meets minimal acceptable level of detail, clarity and logic; only minor instances of any of the following: lack of clarity, disorganized,
- insufficient detail provided to support statements.

 1 = Complete, but below minimal acceptable level of detail, clarity and logic; multiple or major instances of any of the following: lack of clarity, disorganized, inaccurate statements, insufficient detail provided to support statements.
- 0 = Missing or incomplete.

1. Completeness of Scholarship Application:			
	Score	Comments	
a. Cover Letter	3		
b. Letter of Nomination/Recommendation (Relevance of proposed project to FIRC's mission)	3		
c. Proposal Content (Proposal adheres to "Guidelines for Written Submission")	3		Total possible: 10.00%
Subtotal for Section 1		Contribution to total score	

2. Title and Abstract (In Proposal Content):			
	Score	Comments	
a. Provides a title for proposed research project	3		
b. Abstract accurately, completely and concisely (word count 500-1000) summarized the proposed	3		Total possible:
research project			13.00 / 0
Subtotal for Section 2		Contribution to total score	

2 December December Objections and/or Consider			
3. Research Purpose, Objectives, and/or Specific Aims			
Amis	Score	Comments	
a. Stated objectives/aims/purpose are potentially significant, are specific and achievement can be evaluated using clear criteria. For quantititave studies, the objectives can be measured.	3		
b. Objectives/Aims/Purpose are realistic and feasible given proposed time frame and requested budget.	3		
c. Quantitative: Research hypotheses, are clearly identified. Action research: the intended aims are clearly stated. Qualitative research: the question is clearly stated.	3		Total possible: 20.00%
Subtotal for Section 3		Contribution to total score	

4. Methods			
	~		
	Score	Comments	
a. Proposed research design/methodology is			
appropriate, including adequate explanation and	3		
rationale, for stated objectives/aims/purpose.			

b. Sampling method (whether of individual participants or an entity/site) are aligned with the purpose of the study.	3		
c. Evaluation/assessment is adequately described and aligned with the purpose, including any outcome measures. (All types of research.)	3		
d. Detailed outline of plan for data collection and analysis or evaluation/assessment. In the case of quantitative studies, reliability and validity of measurement tools is described.	3		
e. Realistic and feasible time line for study completion is presented.	3		Total possible: 20.00%
Subtotal for Section 4		Contribution to total score	
5. Description of Use of Funds			
5. Description of Use of Funds			
	Score	Comments	
The proposal clearly indicates how the scholarship funds will be used if received by the applicant, to include an itemized budget showing how the funds will be utilized.	3		Total possible: 20.00%
Subtotal for Section 5		Contribution to total score	
(0, 1) (1, 1) (1, 1)			
6. Overall Clarity / Style			
	Score	Comments	
a. Proposal is well written, formatted, and is clear with a logical flow (written organization).	3		
b. Presentation of proposal is cogent and succinct (conceptual organization).	3		Total possible: 15.00%
Subtotal for Section 6		Contribution to total score	
Suototai joi Section o		Contribution to total score	
		Total score (sum of all sections 1-6) =	
Reviewer's summary comments with areas of overa	ll strength. w	veakness, and recommendation for funding with rationale:	
Strengths:			
Weaknesses:			
Recommendation for funding with rationale (YES or N	O, please exp	olain)	