

Lindenwood Showcase 2025



LINDENWOOD
SHOWCASE
2025

Judge Scoring Submission – Poster

Online Judging Form: **Project Category:**



- ☐ Original Research Project
- ☐ Creative Project
- ☐ Exploratory Project
- ☐ Proposal Project
- ☐ Civic Engagement Project

Judge Name

Student/s Name/s

Title of Poster

Poster Number

Structure & Organization, Concepts/Connections

10 - 9 Points – Learning outcomes and progression well displayed; excellent research suppositions delineated

8 - 6 Points – Good/Beginning level of outcomes and progression delineated

5 - 1 Points – Minimal progression of learning outcomes displayed

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8 ☐ 9 ☐ 10

Process / Method

10 - 9 Points - Fully addresses all stages of work and process followed; easy for audience outside the field to understand

8 - 6 Points - Good level of process and explanation; leaves some gaps for the non-practitioner in fully understanding

5 - 1 Points - Process/method not clearly shown; non-practitioner cannot discern the relevance or progression

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8 ☐ 9 ☐ 10

Mechanics

10 - 9 Points – Error free; appropriate use of references, grammar and language

8 - 6 Points – Some errors in visual display, language or visual representation

5 - 1 Points – Work seems hastily prepared and needs refining

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8 ☐ 9 ☐ 10

Originality

10 - 9 Points – Unique question or idea; clear display of its contribution to larger field

8 - 6 Points – Somewhat original idea allowing viewer to discern its larger contribution to the field

5 - 1 Points – Work does not display originality or need for the project

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8 ☐ 9 ☐ 10

Depth and Breadth

10 - 9 Points – Displays excellent depth of work and learning

8 - 6 Points - Shows some depth of work but also some gaps

5 - 1 Points - cursory understanding of the topic covered

☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ 7 ☐ 8 ☐ 9 ☐ 10

Notes
